









# GRIDWORKS


## Load Shift Working Group Survey Results

Load Shift Working Group – Participant Survey Results



Load Shift Working Group – Participant Survey Results





What improvements would you recommend for future working groups?
It would be valuable to have participation from MORE stakeholders. The CCA's, more environmental advocates, electric vehicle companies, etc. were absent or scarce. I'm not sure how you can make this happen.
This particular working group may have benefitted from an earlier draft of the report, to help ascertain the areas that would require the most iteration. In addition, this would have allowed earlier edits to the document.
Have more democratic control of the products and the outcomes.
It would help to run through some simple illustrative examples of how the claimed benefits are realized e.g., how avoided curtailment by increasing load (without a corresponding Shed) can be claimed to meet State's very long-term renewable goal with lower renewable installations. Also, is it appropriate to claim such benefit in the near-term to provide additional compensation to participants?
Preliminary discussions on the scope of the working group to better define boundaries and primary issues.
facilities with better phone in options (but the CPUC lacks those).
better facilities (with sources of power for participants, easier remote access and better phone connectivity)
For future working groups, it would be helpful to start with principles and attributes for alignment so that issues are not re-litigated over the course of the working group.
Notes after the working group meetings were sometimes a little messy.

Any other comments or feedback?
The working groups are a vital bridge between proceedings.
Our company greatly appreciated the use of Gridworks as an independent voice and facilitator. This allowed the working group to have direction, and we believe enabled broader stakeholder feedback.
Appreciate making every effort to hear all points of views on this very important effort to meet State's environmental goals.
The facilitation was great. The facilitator's ability to navigate complex topics with diverse stakeholders while providing helpful insight was much appreciated. Many thanks to the Gridworks team.
Having the facilitation made this one of the more productive working groups I've been a part of. My criticisms of the group have less to do with facilitation than the general issue of spending a lot of time on a few issues up front and then that finally prompting other parties to realize they need to get in proposals that get crammed into the end during a time of year when this happens across all proceedings and working groups leading to less intense focus by at least this party. Gridworks did a really great job overall.
the meeting notes - at times - were not sufficiently detailed; the remote access - due in large part to the facilities - was less than ideal. due to the facilities/location, remote participants had difficulty hearing the discussion and participating in the discussion. the WG website was helpful and well organized, and i appreciated the coordination/facilitation and sub-group conference calls.
<p>1. The shifting direction of the Commission was a challenge during the working group. We started with the objective of a market integrated load shift product (and we were given guidance that it should not be a rate and not be for distribution deferral)- and the Commission management later rolled that back to allow for products that were: 1.) not market integrated 2.) rate-like, and 3.) for distribution services. This made for an unproductive first six months of the working group.</p> <p>2. It was very helpful having Gridworks as a facilitator of the working group.</p>

\* 11 responses received