VGI Working Group
Subgroup B
12/5 Notes

Action Items:
- Participants need to sign up for scoring quickly (Scores due 12/19)
- Scoring/Answers FAQ page is available here
  - Resource Documents from Joint IOUs - References/Load Shapes and Economic Value of Applications
- GM, Kitu, Volkswagen, SCE, Fermata Energy, and Honda, plus others, are still planning on adding their name to provide use case scoring.

Resolutions:
- Narrative comments on use case scoring, which apply more broadly and not to any specific use case, should be submitted to Gridworks for inclusion. Subgroup leaders will determine how parties can submit written notes along with scoring results. For comments on specific use cases, parties should still use the comment fields in the scoring templates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start time</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:00am</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>Scoring Reminder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:05am</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>Any questions or clarifications on scoring?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20am</td>
<td>40 minutes</td>
<td>Other Discussion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Topics of Discussion:
- Fermata: Can an organization just score a particular subset (i.e., V2G) that is defined differently than by sector or by application?
  - SCE: Yes, just make sure that you are clear on what you’re scoring
  - Gridworks: there is now a table added to the “who is scoring what” page for you to indicate a Party-defined subset for scoring
- Strategen: Are multiple parties scoring single areas?
  - SCE: Yes, scores will be reviewed later on in the January workshop.
  - PG&E: Submissions go to Gridworks to be aggregated and provided as a summary to the Working Group participants.
- Discussion on Parties being able to submit written notes along with scoring results, on barriers or other areas pertinent to policy recommendations, not tied to any specific use case.
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- Enel X: How did Gridworks identify some of the battery capacities or other technology information. If there is disagreement with some of these technology characteristics how should they be addressed in the process?
  - PG&E: Original use case submissions that included technology specifications were all rolled into the scoring templates.
  - Gridworks: most use cases were submitted by Parties without any technology information (generic use case submission), but whatever information was received was included in the scoring templates.
  - PG&E: If you strongly disagree with the technology characteristics given for a use case, then indicate your own choices in the comments field and score based on those. If there aren’t too many of these, we can handle them individually. If we get a lot we’ll have to decide how to handle.
  - UCS: The M/HDV templates are a little different than the LDV templates, with several different technology characteristics (vehicle profiles) explicitly defined. Additional comments can be directed to Samantha Houston.

Participants:

- Mauro Dresti (SCE)
- Stephanie Palmer (CAR)
- John Holmes (Honda)
- Taylor Marvin (SDG&E)
- Matthew Tisdale (Gridworks)
- Samantha Houston (UCS)
- John Wheeler (Fermata Energy)
- Ed Pike (CPUC)
- Lance Atkins (Nissan)
- Eric Cutter (E3)
- Alan Bach (CPUC)
- Jigar Shah (Electrify America)
- Marc Monbouquette (Enel X)
- Fidel Leon Diaz (Public Advocate’s Office)
- Vincent Weyl (Kitu Systems)
- Ed Burgess (Strategen)
- Zach Woogen (Strategen)
- Eric Martinot (Gridworks)
- Dean Taylor (CalETC)
- Alex Keros (GM)
- Christopher Michelbacher (Audi)
- Karim Farhat (PG&E)
- Naor Deleanu (Olivine)