

PUNCH LIST - EPE IRP Facilitated Stakeholder Process (status as of 6/13/2025)
Items ☒ are completed

From Workshop #4, 4/23/2025

1. ☒ DISCUSSIONS UNDERWAY: DoD/FEA would like to work with EPE to get concerns addressed (Emmanuel requested that EPE's key accounts dept follow up with Kyle Smith directly). Resources we would like to provide to meet the needs that the greater system has. Would like to understand the parameters.
 - Resiliency assets that can provide services to the system
 - Transmission constraints

EPE RESPONSE

EPE's Manager of Key Accounts contacted Kyle Smith directly on April 28, 2025, to discuss the items listed above. EPE's Manager of Key Accounts is working with DoD/FEA representatives on various generation and transmission opportunities.

From email on 5/6/2025

2. LOLPs, ELCC values, and updated L&R tables (Orland Whitney). Tatro confirmed (on 5/22/2025 that Orland has the LOLP and L&R information, is waiting for ELCC values, see item 5 below.

EPE RESPONSE

This is pending because E3 and EPE are working on the ELCC values for the stakeholder requests as part of the modeling process. More information will be provided in the coming weeks as the modeling progresses and results are derived.

Gridworks Clarification after Consultation with EPE Team (6/13/2025)

ELCC values for gas, wind, storage and solar were provided during Workshop #4, see slides 23-25. The modeling team is working on ELCC values for the stakeholder requested Demand Side Scenarios and these will be shared during a future workshop.

3. ☒ DONE: Don Kurtz: Earlier, it was mentioned that one of EPE's early solar facilities will be reaching retirement age in a few years. Is it possible that solar facilities may still be effective and useful at the end of their projected service lives and will still be cost-effective and useful even after they have been fully depreciated?

EPE RESPONSE

EPE has only one solar PPA scheduled to expire in 2030. This PPA would be eligible to bid in to EPE's next RFP to evaluate whether it is cost-effective.

From 5/6/2025 Demand Side Resources Office Hour

4. ☒ DONE: Steve Fischmann: would like to see a more robust programming on C&I demand response. (Note: Tatro emailed Steve prior to Workshop #5 to check his level of agreement with the refined parameters; no reply as of 5/28/25, Gridworks suggests E3 check in with him.)

EPE RESPONSE

E3 has contacted Mr. Fischmann and the DER working group. Additionally, E3 and Gridworks have emailed Mr. Fischmann. E3 provided a recommendation on this modeling approach and did not receive any objections to their recommendation on this approach from Mr. Fischmann as of June 12, 2025. The scenarios and sensitivities have been discussed and EPE is proceeding with the stakeholder-requested modeling proposals, which will be presented during the June 17, 2025, and July 23, 2025, stakeholder meetings. As of the last meeting in May 2025, the stakeholders have expressed agreement with the information that is being provided and presented.

From Workshop #5, 5/21/2025

5. When will the ELCC study be available? (Chris Leger, Cynthia Mitchell, Orland Whitney)

RESPONSE

Please see EPE's response to Item No. 2 above.

6. Day-ahead purchases for near-term summer shortfalls? Plan for meeting capacity needs from now until 2030 (Anastasia Stevens). → ACTION PLAN DISCUSSION

RESPONSE

EPE cannot address the near-term in the modeling; therefore, the near-term needs to be addressed through alternative measures that will be discussed as part of EPE's Action Plan.

7. ☒ DONE Load forecasting - Slide 11. Break out how much due to IT data server load? And details on NM system. (Cynthia Mitchell)
 - a. Base Load Forecast breakout posted on SharePoint
8. EV adoption shapes and percentage charging on and off peak (Chris Hickman)

RESPONSE

EPE to follow up on this request for Mr. Hickman and will coordinate with him directly.

9. Gas price forecasting: Emmanuel to set up a time to discuss with Cynthia Mitchell
 - a. For slide 29, gas forecast: Gas price forecast, shown as annual average. EPE stated that in the model, the forecast is monthly. For a limited operation gas unit (say 5% at peak), is the higher peak gas price matched to that unit?
 - b. LBNL tool, gas price volatility. With the PNM staff report, section 15, we discuss risks in potential disruption on NG supply and price volatility, recommending in part that PNM utilize an LBNL tool to evaluate, to which PNM agreed to do. Could EPE please look at this and find an appropriate time to discuss with stakeholders?
 - c. The gas forecast is E3 proprietary. Could EPE discuss, document, even how their forecast diverges significantly from the NREL public ATB forecast and why?

RESPONSE

9a. EPE representatives will be available to stakeholders to discuss.

9b. EPE representatives will be available to stakeholders to discuss.

9c. The gas forecast is EPE's gas forecast and proprietary since EPE uses a license and subscription to obtain the information. EPE will need the NREL public ATB forecast that is being discussed by Ms. Mitchell to ensure EPE is evaluating the same forecast being referenced in 9c.

EPE spoke to Ms. Mitchell on June 12, 2025, and confirmed this meeting can be scheduled in a few weeks.